

# The small angle neutron scattering extension in MCNPX

Kyle Grammer, Franz Gallmeier Spallation Neutron Source

October 14, 2019 International Collaboration on Advanced Neutron Sources (ICANS XXIII)

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



# Nanomaterials and particle transport

#### Motivation

- Detonation nanodiamonds provide an intriguing prospect for the development of a VCN source or as a VCN reflector.
  - Quasi-specular reflection from nanodiamonds has been observed.
- Simulation studies require an implementation of SANS (eg.  $S(\alpha, \beta)$  or source code).
- The SANS extension in MCNPX was accomplished by adding an additional scattering process,  $\Sigma_{\rm SANS}$ , to MCNPX.
- New SANS implementation via two methods:
  - Analytical polydisperse hard-sphere model, specifying size, polydispersity, and contrast and using the Schulz-Γ function for particle size.<sup>1</sup>.
  - User specified input of reduced SANS data table as I(q) vs. q.

<sup>1</sup>W. L. Griffith, R. Triolo, and A. L. Compere, Phys. Rev. A 35, 2200 (1987).

# Modifications to MCNPX

### Code modifications

- New input card, **ms**, that modifies materials similar to **mt** card.
- Routine for calculation of analytical cross section given parameters.
- Routine for determining scattering angle from I(q) vs. q tables.
- Additional SANS term added to neutron cross section determination.

#### Input parameters

- Analytical hard-sphere model
  - $\zeta_{\mu}\,$  particle size
  - $p_0, p_{\mathrm{med}}$  SLD
    - z Schulz polydispersity
    - $\eta~$  packing fraction
  - ms1 p  $\zeta_{\mu}$  z  $\eta$   $p_0$   $p_{\rm med}$

- User supplied data file
  - Text file with q and I(q) columns.
  - *I*(*q*) should be scaled to proper density before use.

### ms1 sans.dat

### The SANS cross section

#### Cross sections

 $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q)$  differential macroscopic cross section (cm<sup>-1</sup>ster<sup>-1</sup>), related to I(q). Analytical hard-sphere model:  $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q) = \rho \int_0^\infty P_i^2(q) f(\zeta_i) \, d\zeta_i + \rho \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty P_i(q) P_j(q) H_{ij}(q) f(\zeta_i) f(\zeta_j) \, d\zeta_i$  $\Sigma$  macroscopic cross section (cm<sup>-1</sup>), used in MCNPX for mean free path.  $\Sigma_{\text{SANS}}(k_{n}) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q) \sin\theta \, d\theta$  $= 2\pi k_{\rm n}^{-2} \int_{0}^{q_{\rm max}} \frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q) \, q \, dq$  $\sigma$  microscopic cross section (barns), used for scaling data to different  $\rho$ .

$$\sigma_{\rm SANS}(k_{\rm n}) = \frac{\Sigma_{\rm SANS}}{n}$$



### Analytical model - calculated cross sections





Actional Laboratory

# The scattering process in MCNPX

### Integration

- $\Sigma_{\text{SANS}} = 2\pi k_{\text{n}}^{-2} \int_{0}^{q_{\text{max}}} \frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q) \, q \, dq$
- Numerically integrated without  $k_{\rm n}^{-2}$  and stored in an array,  $C(q_i)$
- A normalized copy on [0, 1]:

 $N(q_i) = \frac{C(q_i)}{C(q_f)}$ 

### Cross section determination

• Binary search for  $q_{\text{max}} = 2k_{\text{n}}$ .

• 
$$\Sigma_{\text{SANS}}(k_{\text{n}}) = k_{\text{n}}^{-2}C(2k_{\text{n}})$$

### Scattering event

- 1. Choose uniform random number, c on  $[0, \frac{N(2k_{\mathrm{n}})}{N(q_{f})}]$ .
- 2. Search for the adjacent bins (N(l) < N(c) < N(h)).
- 3. Interpolate to find fractional location of *c*:  $f = \frac{c N(q_l)}{N(q_h) N(q_l)}$ .
- 4. Logarithmic interpolate to find  $q_{\text{scatter}} = [q_h]^f [q_l]^{1-f}$
- 5. Find the scattering angle  $\theta_{\text{scatter}} = 2 \arcsin \left[ \frac{q_{\text{scatter}}}{2k_n} \right]$
- 6. Rotate by a uniform angle on  $[0, 2\pi]$ .

# Simulation of the analytical hard-sphere model

### Analytical model

- Mono-chromatic beam at 2.86 Å, 0.1 mm thick sample,  $\zeta = 50$  Å,  $2.34 \times 10^{-6} \text{\AA}^{-2}$ ,  $z = 10^4$ .
- Surface flux tallied downstream with 10000 cylindrical segments 50 cm, 4 m, and 8 m downstream.
- Simulations were fit using SasView.



Parameter	Simulation 1	Simulation 2
$\zeta$	$50.2\pm2.2$ Å	$51.2\pm6.2$ Å
p	$2.42 \pm 0.12 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Å}^{-2}$	$2.33 \pm 0.53 \times 10^{-6} \text{ Å}^{-2}$
$\eta$	$0.284 \pm 0.023$	$0.103 \pm 0.042$

# Nanodiamond measurement on EQ-SANS

### Samples

- Benchmarking and validation measurement with nanodiamonds on EQ-SANS. using commercial nanodiamonds with size < 10nm.
- Four powder samples, 1 composite aluminum (56.6%)/nanodiamond (43.4%) pellet, porasil calibration standard.
- Initial simulations in MCNPX using this I(q) as  $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q)$  resulted in unphysical multiple scattering.



Sample	Thickness (cm)	Density (g cm $^{-3}$ )
1	0.076	$2.01 \times 10^{-1}$
2	0.166	$1.60 \times 10^{-1}$
3	0.207	$1.62 \times 10^{-1}$
4	0.132	$6.45 \times 10^{-2}$
5	0.168	$6.00 \times 10^{-1}$

# Normalization from transmission

- Measured sample transmission is in disagreement with the mean-free-path as determined from the measured data.
- The integrated I(q) and the measured transmission provide an estimate of the true normalization factor,  $\approx 0.11$  for sample 4.
- As a check, the transmission can be simulated for a sample of 88% carbon, 1.0% hydrogen, 2.5% nitrogen, and 10% oxygen (all by weight).



• Uncertainty in hydrogen content, sample mass, and sample thickness yields a 20% uncertainty on the SANS cross section for nanodiamonds.

# Data after normalization





### Input for simulations

- The thinnest sample (4) is fit to a function that is then used to create data files for input in MCNPX.
- Expect that the powder samples could be simulated from sample 4 data with an adjustment for the density.

### Data analysis

### Fit function

- Power-law (low-q)
  - $I_I(q) = Bq^{-p_{\rm PL}}$
- Guinier function (mid-q)
  - $I_{II}(q) = q^{-s} \exp\left[\frac{-q^2 r_{\rm g}^2}{3-s}\right]$
- Porod function (high-q)

$$I_{III}(q) = \frac{D}{q^m} + b$$

Sample	$p_{\rm PL}$	8	$r_{\rm g}$	m
1	2.3613	1.6042	12.791	4.0133
2	2.1231	1.5467	13.285	3.9972
3	2.0781	1.5357	13.372	3.9907
4	2.4894	1.6382	12.551	4.0398
5	2.0521	0.78904	18.019	3.8275

### Hard-sphere fit

• Fit sample 4 to polydisperse hard-sphere model in SasView for use in simulations via the HS model in MCNPX.

Parameter	Value
b(1/cm)	$9.81 \times 10^{-3}$
$\rho(\times 10^{-6} \text{\AA}^{-2})$	$14.0 \pm 0.6$
$r_q(Å)$	$13.2 \pm 0.2$
$\eta$	$0.0133 \pm 0.001$
z	1.064



### Benchmarking MCNPX to measured data



Sample	$p_{\rm PL}$	s	$r_{\rm g}$	m
1	2.3613	1.6042	12.791	4.0133
2	2.1231	1.5467	13.285	3.9972
3	2.0781	1.5357	13.372	3.9907
4	2.4894	1.6382	12.551	4.0398
5	2.0521	0.78904	18.019	3.8275

Samp	ole	$p_{\rm PL}$	s	$r_{\rm g}$	m
1		2.2162	1.5718	12.932	3.9897
2		2.0343	1.5721	12.427	3.9793
3		1.9973	1.5698	12.359	3.9769
4		2.3147	1.6254	12.783	3.9997
5		1.8607	1.5698	12.004	3.9815



# Reflectometer simulation

- Quasi-specular reflection has been observed for nanodiamonds (Cubitt et al., 2010).
- Analytical model and the nanodiamond data used.





# Reflectometer simulation

- Simulation results show reasonable agreement with Cubitt measurement.
- Analytical model shows less long-wavelength reflection, in agreement with Cubitt.





National Laboratory SOU

# Initial moderator studies



#### Tube moderator

- Vessel is 0.4 cm thick aluminum.
- Vacuum jacket between vessel and water pre-moderator is 0.5 cm.



# Initial moderator studies



#### Tube moderator

• Simulation assumes that the entire vacuum jacket contains nanodiamonds with density  $\approx 0.4 \text{ g cm}^{-3}$ .



13

# Conclusions

- Demonstrated a powerful new tool for simulating neutron transport with nanoscale materials.
- Excellent agreement between input  $\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(q)$  and simulated SANS profiles.
- Qualitative agreement with Cubitt reflectometer measurements.
- Initial studies of one reflector configuration show negligible effect on spectrum, but still need to verify the SANS code works with variance reduction techniques.



\*OAK RIDGE National Laboratory

### Thank you!

