nEDM@SNS@STS

Takeyasu Ito Los Alamos National Laboratory July 26-27, 2019

Many slides provided by L. Broussard and J. Ramsey

nEDM@SNS

R. Alarcon, R. Dipert Arizona State University

> D. Budker UC Berkeley

G. Seidel Brown University

M. Blatnik, R. Carr, B. Filippone, C. Osthelder, S. Slutsky, X. Sun, C. Swank, W. Wei *California Institute of Technology*

> M. Ahmed, M. Busch, H. Gao Duke University

> > I. Silvera Harvard University

L. Bartoszek, D. Beck, C. Daurer, B. Erickson, J.-C. Peng, T. Rao, S. Sharma, S. Williamson, L. Yang University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

M. Karcz, C.-Y. Liu, J. Long, H.O. Meyer, M. Snow Indiana University

A. Aleksandrova, C. Crawford, R. Dadisman, T. Gorringe, W. Korsch, B. Plaster University of Kentucky

S. Clayton, P.-H. Chu, S. Currie, T. Ito, Y. Kim, S. MacDonald, M. Makela, C. O'Shaughnessy, N. Phan, E. Smith, W.Sondheim, Z. Tang Los Alamos National Lab K. Dow, D. Hasell, E. Ihloff, J. Kelsey, R. Milner, R. Redwine, E. Tsentalovich, C. Vidal Massachusetts Institute of Technology

> D. Dutta, E. Leggett Mississippi State University

L. Barron-Palos Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico

C. Barrow, I. Berkutov, R. Golub, D. Haase, A. Hawari, P. Huffman, E. Korobkina, A. Lipman, K. Leung, M. Martone, A. Reid, C. White, A. Young North Carolina State University

L. Broussard, V. Cianciolo, Y. Efremenko, P. Mueller, S. Penttila, J. Ramsey, W. Yao Oak Ridge National Lab

> M. Hayden Simon Fraser University

> G. Greene, N. Fomin University of Tennessee

A. Holley Tennessee Technological University

> S. Stanislaus Valparaiso University

S. Baeβler University of Virginia

> S. Lamoreaux Yale University

SNS nEDM experiment key features

Golub and Lamoreaux, Phys. Rep. 237, 1 (1994)

- Experiment performed in superfluid LHe
- In situ production of UCN from 8.9 Å cold neutron beam via superthermal process
- Higher electric field expected to be achievable in LHe
- Longer UCN storage time expected at cryogenic temperatures
- ³He as comagnetometer and spin analyzer for UCN
- Two complementary approaches to look for the nEDM signal (*d*·*E*)
 - Free precession method
 - Dressed spin method

SNS nEDM experiment key features

Golub and Lamoreaux, Phys. Rep. 237, 1 (1994)

- Experiment performed in superfluid LHe
- In situ production of UCN from 8.9 Å cold neutron beam via superthermal process
- Higher electric field expected to be achievable in LHe
- Longer UCN storage time expected at cryogenic temperatures
- ³He as comagnetometer and spin analyzer for UCN
- Two complementary approaches to look for the nEDM signal (*d*·*E*)
 - Free precession method
 - Dressed spin method

Free precession method

A dilute admixture of polarized ³He atoms is introduced to the bath of SF ⁴He (x = $N_3/N_4 \sim 10^{-10}$ or $\rho_{3He} \sim 10^{12}/cc$)



Signature of EDM appears as a shift in ω_3 - ω_n corresponding to the reversal of *E* with respect to *B* with no change in ω_3

Dressed spin method



•By applying a strong non-resonant RF field, the gyromagnetic ratio can be modified or "dressed"

$$\gamma' = \gamma J_0 \left(\gamma B_{rf} / \omega_{rf} \right) = \gamma J_0 (X)$$

- •Can tune the dressing parameter $(X = \gamma_n B_{rf} / \omega_{rf})$ until the relative precession between 3He and neutrons is zero $(X = X_c)$.
- •Look for X_c dependence on E field
- Provides access to EDM that is independent of variations of the ambient B-field

SNS nEDM at FTS



nEDM facility plan view



Sensitivity reach at FTS

Free Precession Measurement (SQUIDs)

- Sensitivity: 3.1 x 10⁻²⁸ e-cm
 300 live-days ~ 3 yrs
 90% CL : 5.1 x 10⁻²⁸ e-cm
- Dressed Spin Measurement (AC Field)

 - Sensitivity: 2.1 x 10⁻²⁸ e-cm
 90% CL : 3.4 x 10⁻²⁸ e-cm
 300 live-days ~ 3 yrs

SNS nEDM@FTS schedule

- Beginning Large Scale Integration (LSI) phase where the major components are completed and tested
- 2020 Magnet System moved to ORNL for neutron polarization and transport testing
- 2022 Central Detector System to ORNL for testing and commissioning
- 2023 3He System to ORNL for testing and commissioning
- 2023 Initial data taking begins

Opportunities at the STS

- STS has factor 3 lower pulse rate than FTS.
 - FTS = (60-15) Hz
 - STS = 15 Hz
- We can gain elsewhere:
 - Optimize moderator and guides: cross section of experiment is larger so larger moderator and guide entrance desirable
 - Avoid losses of useful neutrons through shielding seen at FTS
 - More gains possible from efficient transport after exit to experiment
 - We expect x10 more 8.9 Å neutrons at the experiment

Moderator Brightness

- Two moderators at STS
 - Upper cylindrical: 2 sizes considered
 - Lower triangular
- STS has higher brightness but not intensity
 - Achieved with smaller moderator and guide entrance
- We will ask for bigger moderator & guide entrance, and use ballistic guide for high brightness of colder neutrons in larger area



Comparison of moderators: FTS vs STS

	FTS	STS 🔺	STS 3x3	STS 3x6
Pulse rate	60 Hz – 15 Hz	15 Hz		
Guide entrance viewing moderator	10 x 12 cm ²	5 x 5 cm ²		
n/s/Å at 8.9Å at guide entrance	44e9	3.0e9 @ 100 cm 6.6e9 @ 70 cm	2.4e9 @ 100 cm 5.3e9 @ 70 cm	4.4e9 @ 100 cm 9.5e9 @ 70 cm
Guide entrance viewing moderator	-	5 x 10 cm ² (as in QIKR instrument)		
n/s/Å at 8.9Å at guide entrance	-	5.6e9 @ 100 cm 13e9 @ 70 cm	5.3e9 @ 100 cm 10e9 @ 70 cm	9.7e9 @ 100 cm 19e9 @ 70 cm

- Figure of merit: intensity of useful neutrons (8.9 Å) to nEDM
- For more gains need larger viewing area and more efficient transport

Comparison of guide entrance: STS

Time averaged intensity of 8.9 Å neutrons at guide entrance relative to FTS

- Vertical limitation of <10 cm by shutter design [Van's talk next], but high gains possible if we can avoid
- Horizontal limitation from beamline density
 - 2 beamlines = 20 cm wide guide



50 mm wide neutron beam requires 140 mm wide Monolith Insert & ultimately limits moderator approach distance

Guide entrance [cm ²]	STS 3x6 / FTS
5x10 (QIKR insert)	0.22 @ 100 cm 0.43 @ 70 cm
5x20	0.43 @ 100 cm 0.89 @ 70 cm
10x10	0.43 @ 100 cm
10x30	1.3 @ 100 cm
20x20	1.7 @ 100 cm
20x30	2.6 @ 100 cm

8.9 Å at FNPB



- Using McStas model of FNPB BL-13
- Factor 6.5 lost intensity of 8.9Å at shielding exit
- Need more efficient transport



Possible transport schemes

• How to efficiently transport through a 10 cm high shutter at 6 m?



• If we can avoid: ballistic guide with large view area (m = 3.6)



Neutron spectra

- Colder, more intense than FNPB
- FNPB (<u>shielding exit</u>):
 6.4e9 n/s/Å
- 20m shutter-limited beamline: 21e9 n/s/Å
- 20m ballistic beamline: 44e9 n/s/Å



Gains factors for 8.9 Å at STS over FTS

Factors	Gain
Pulse rate	1/3
5x5 cm ² view of 3x6 moderator (per pulse)	1/3
20x30 cm ² view of 3x6 moderator (per pulse)	10
Ballistic 20x30 cm ² guide through shielding	2.8
Efficient transport from shielding to experiment	~2
Total	6 - 18

Infrastructure needs

- Crane hook @ ~35'
- Hoist module array
- Field cancellation system (FCS)
- Non-magnetic rebar in the vicinity of apparatus and FCS
- Pit
 - ~17'x28'x20' deep pit necessary for assembly of components
 - Includes:
 - Pit trolley
 - Trap door storage space
 - Trap door cover
 - Sump pump



More infrastructure requirements

- Approximate power needs
 - 480V: ~313 kVA
 - 208/120V: ~71 kVA
 - Clean 120V: ~26 kVA
- Cooling water ~70-80 gallons/minute
- Liquid nitrogen 3000-5000 liter storage
 - Liquid nitrogen service will be necessary to support helium liquefaction and transfer
 - LN2 storage dewar outside accessible for filling
- Assembly and storage space
 - We generally need space comparable to the combined EB-1 and EB-2

Where at STS?

- Experiment equipment may be able to fit in an 11° wedge, but cramped
 - Need space for assembly, and supporting equipment (pump stacks, RF screen room, etc.) and storage
 - 2 beamline widths is more comfortable
- Some potential locations
 - BL19
 - EXTERNAL BUILDING?
 - BL15
 - BL10





• STS provides an exciting opportunity to increase the sensitivity of the SNS nEDM experiment by a factor 3 or more.