

HPC and Al Convergence in Edge-to-Exascale Science Infrastructures

Arjun Shankar, Ph.D.

Section Head, Advanced Technologies

National Center for Computational Sciences (NCCS)/ Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF)

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



Acknowledgments: This work was sponsored by and used resources of the Oak Ridge Leadership Computing Facility (OLCF), which is a DOE Office of Science User Facility at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725.



- HPC and AI Convergence Design Patterns
- ORNL Deployment Vignette
- Integrated Research Infrastructures (IRI) An Emerging DOE Activity





Center for Accelerated Application Readiness (CAAR) Projects



Code: Cholla (Astrophysics) **PI:** Evan Schneider, University of Pittsburgh



Code: LSMS(Locally-Selfconsistent Multiple Scattering) **PI:** Markus Eisenbach, Oak Ridge National Laboratory



Code: CoMet (Combinatorial Metrics) **PI:** Daniel Jacobson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory



Code: NAMD (Nanoscale Molecular Dynamics) **PI:** Emad Tajkhorshid, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



Code: GESTS (GPUs for Extreme-Scale Turbulence Simulations) **PI:** P. K. Yeung, Georgia Institute of Technology



Code: NuCCOR (Nuclear Coupled-Cluster Oak Ridge) **PI:** Morten Hjorth-Jensen, Michigan State University



Code: LBPM (Lattice Boltzmann Methods for Porous Media) **PI:** James Edward McClure, Virginia Polytechnic



Code: PIConGPU (Particle-in-cell on Graphics Processing Units) **PI:** Sunita Chandrasekaran, University of Delaware

Application Scaling using Accelerators



A visualization of the mixture fraction isosurface (gold) and HO_2 , which shows autoignition occurring in fuel-lean mixtures at regions with high temperatures, low mixing rates, and short ignition delay times. Image credit: Hongfeng Yu, University of Nebraska; and Kwan-Liu Ma, University of California, Davis



Supercomputing simulations at ORNL enabled SmartTruck engineers to develop the UnderTray System, some components of which are shown here. The system dramatically reduces drag—and increases fuel Natimileage—in long-haul trucks. Image credit: Michael Matheson, ORNL

Summit: Using GPUs
Summit: Using CPUs
Summit: Using CPUs
10²
10¹
10²
10¹
10¹
10²
10³
10¹
10¹
10²
10³
10¹
10²
10³
10¹
10²
10³
Number of Summit nodes

Parallel Computing 108 (2021) 102833

Porting WarpX to GPU-accelerated platforms, A. Myers, et al., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parco.2021.102833

Also, see 25 years of OLCF: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CDfANp9ZE9k

Advent of Machine Learning and Deep Learning

Deep Learning Models for Extreme Weather Segmentation



Tiramisu, 35 layers, 7.8M parameters, 4.2 TF/sample



43.7M parameters, 14.4 TF/sample



Dataset Size	Required BW (27K GPUs)	GPFS/LUSTRE	BurstBuffer	NVM/e or DRAM
20 TB (~63K samples)	3.8 TB/s	~400 GB/s	-2 TB/s	~26 TB/s

Exascale Deep Learning for Climate Analytics, T. Kurth, et al., Supercomputing 2018, Gordon Bell prize winner;

Table from J. Romero, SOS 2019

Peak performance: 1.13 ExaOps (mixed precision)



5



Design Pattern for Interleaving ML/DL/AI and Simulation



Design Pattern: Interleaved Mod-Sim + ML/DL/AI at Scale





Example: Surrogates in an HPC Simulation Application MC-based Exploration of High-Entropy Alloy System (MoNbTaW)

- Probability of N atoms in configuration X at temperature T follows Boltzmann's distribution $exp(-E(X)/k_{\rm B}T)$ where E is the total configuration energy and $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann constant.
- Replica of the alloy systems at various *T* are simulated via replica exchange Monte Carlo simulations with transition probability between replica *m* and *n*:

 $W(\{X_m, T_m\} | \{X_n, T_n\}) = \min[1, \exp(-\triangle)],$

where

 $\triangle = (1/k_B T_n - 1/k_B T_m)(E(X_m) - E(X_n))$

 Atoms (i,j) are exchanged with acceptance probability P_{i,i} proportional to:

CAK RIDGE

 $\min\left[1, \exp(-(E(\{x_i, x_j\}) - E(\{x_j, x_i\}))/k_BT)\right]$



https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00139-3

DEEP LEARNING SURROGATE MODELS FOR THE ENERGY EVALUATION OF MONBTAW ALLOY. THE ARCHITECTURE IS GIVEN BY THE NUMBER OF NODES IN EACH HIDDEN LAYER, AND IT IS THE SAME FOR EACH OF THE FOUR ELEMENT. THE R^2 SCORE IS THE AVERAGED MODEL PERFORMANCE OF ALL ELEMENTS.

nama	architecture	# parameters	R^2 score	
name	architecture	# parameters	FP32	mixed
tiny	24-24	5,257	0.991	0.988
small	128x2-64x2 -24x2	55,817	0.991	0.992
mdedium	200x11	440,801	0.994	0.992
large	512x6-256x6 -128x6-64x6-32	2,019,009	0.994	0.993

J. Yin, F. Wang, A. Shankar, Strategies for Integrating Deep Learning Surrogate Models with HPC Simulation Applications, ExSAIS 2022.

Deployment Patterns of Converged HPC + AI

Tightly coupled

Loosely coupled



Semi tightly coupled



9



ORNL Facility Vignettes of Workflows Enabling HPC-Al Convergence



Cross-Facility (ex situ) Workflows and Data Science





13

Lingerfelt, E., et al., *Procedia Computer Science* **80**, 2276-2280 (2016). Arjun Shankar, ORNL, STS 23rd June 2022 Workshop

Example going Cross-Facility: Forward/Design of Experiment

Implementation Execution Theory Algorithm and Model Formulation Large amounts of Software Generated Data Design of Parameter Values Experiment Equlibrate NAMD Stage Production NAMD Stage V.E. Lynch et al. / Journal of Computational Physics 340 (2017) 128–137 $I(Q,t) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_{at}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{at}} (b_i^{inc})^2 e^{i\vec{Q}[\vec{r}_i(t_0+t)-\vec{r}_i(t_0)]} \right\rangle_{\Omega_{\vec{Q}},t_0}$ Remote_cluster Local Workstation Unpack Database Amber14 → N simulations \hat{q}_{i} \rightarrow \hat{q}_{i} \rightarrow \hat{q}_{i} Sassena → ↦ Coherent Incoherent $S_{sim}(Q, E) = e^{\left(\frac{E}{2k_b T}\right)} \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i\frac{Et}{\hbar}} I(Q, t) dt$ Post-processing Intermediate Molecular A: Scattering Law Scattering MANTID **Dynamics** B: Smoothing/Interpolation law Optimization



Lynch et al., Journal of Computational Physics 340 (2017) 128–137 Dhindsa, Bhowmik, et al., J. of Physical Chemistry B 2016, 100059-10068

Example going Cross-Facility: ML/DL Problems

Validate/Disprove and Calibrate

Data-Analytics/MLFit

Align, Reduce, Transfer

• Workflows

Design of/and Experiment

Collect Large
amounts of Data







Cross-Facility – SNS to OLCF: Bragg Peak Detection Workflow



19

Toward an Autonomous Workflow for Single Crystal Neutron Diffraction Submitted, May 2022

- Junqi Yin,
- Guannan Zhang,
- Huibo Cao,
- Sajal Dash,
- Bryan C. Chakoumakos,
- Feiyi Wang

Using Edge-to-Exascale/Converged AI Pipelines



Toward an Autonomous Workflow for Single Crystal Neutron Diffraction, Submitted, May 2022, J. Yin, G. Zhang, H. Cao, B. Chakoumakos, and F. Wang



Scientist Display

21



Wational Laboratory Arjun Shankar, ORNL, STS 23rd June 2022 Workshop



DOE Initiative for Integrated Research Infrastructures (IRI)



The vision: A DOE/SC integrated research ecosystem that transforms science via seamless interoperability



Slide credit: IRI-ABA Activity: Design Phase Kickoff Slide Presentation, 6/14/2022, Ben Brown and Bill Miller

SC IRI Architecture Blueprint Activity, FY 2022

Goal: Produce the **reference conceptual foundations** to inform a coordinated "whole-of-SC" strategy for an integrative research ecosystem.





24

Common recurring sentiments across the user interviews

- Users are overwhelmed with large and growing amounts of data to manage, reduce, analyze Data Users need to move data across facilities and use different systems at different steps of data processing chain Management Ο Users need bespoke data movement and workflow solutions, and long-duration support for data/metadata. Ο Users need reliable automation & seamless access and try to compensate via human effort. **Automation/AI** Users need automation, and anticipate AI, but struggle with skills and application of these novel technologies Ο • Users face mismatches between resources, tools and needs Heterogeneity Users need heterogeneity in scale and type of resources but have platform fatigue learning many different Ο platforms Users need workflows to be at the center but need software APIs and standardization/uniformity Ο Users have a spectrum of computing needs from elastic computing (matching need to available resources) to Ο urgent computing (near real-time/just-in-time, on-demand) Users find infrastructure hard to use Ease of Use Users encounter a lack of transparency about workflow tools and resources, and many different use policies Ο and cybersecurity barriers Users need infrastructure to be easier to use and be more coordinated across resources and facilities Ο • Users and teams struggle with workforce and training needs Workforce
 - Users (and their organizations) struggle with lack of skills, oversubscribed staff, recruiting, and retention
 - Users experience gaps between their working knowledge and skills and those of infrastructure experts.
 - Users need support and expertise in data science.

Skills Gap

Forging the Future: Large-Scale Scientific Ecosystems

We will evolve to deploying middleware and workflow systems to provide abstraction and automation for describing complex computational applications that require efficient and robust management of large volumes of data on high-performance compute resources.



Diagram credit: Rafael Ferreira da Silva

CAK RIDGE

National Laboratory

26

Arjun Shankar, ORNL, STS 23rd June 2022 Workshop

Thank you!

Questions?



Arjun Shankar, ORNL, STS 23rd June 2022 Workshop