# OPAL for Self-Consistent Start-to-End Simulation of Undulator-Based Facilities

#### A. Adelmann (PSI), A. Albà (PSI) & A. Fallahi (ETH Zurich)

#### August 10th, 2022



Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Zurich

#### PAUL SCHERRER INSTITUT





# Object Oriented Parallel Particle Library (OPAL)



https://gitlab.psi.ch/OPAL/src/wikis/home

OPAL is a versatile open-source tool for charged-particle optics in large accelerator structures and beam lines including 3D EM field calculation, collisions, radiation, particle-matter interaction, and multi-objective optimisation

- $\blacktriangleright$   $\operatorname{OPAL}$  is built from the ground up as an HPC application
- ▶ OPAL runs on your laptop as well as on the largest HPC clusters
- OPAL uses the MAD language with extensions
- ▶ OPAL is written in C++, uses design patterns,
- The OPAL Discussion Forum: https://psilists.ethz.ch/sympa/info/opal
- International team of 12 active developers and a user base of  $\mathcal{O}(100)$
- The OPAL sampler command can generate labeled data sets using the largest computing resources and allocations available

#### The active developer team

A. Adelmann (PSI), A. Albà (PSI), P. Calvo (CIEMAT), M. Frey (U. St Andrews), A. Gsell (PSI), Ch. Kraus, S. Muralikrishnan (JSC), N. Neveu (SLAC), P. Piot (NIU), Ch. Rogers (RAL), J. Snuverink (PSI), D. Winklehner (MIT)





## The Need for a Full EM Solver

Sven Reiche (PSI) c.f. 2021 UC-XFEL workshop:

#### ► Goodby <del>SVEA</del> ⇒ Hello PIC

Alexander Zholents (ANL):

- ▶ when  $K^2 \gg 1$ , the wiggler strongly influence the longitudinal SCF
- now the frequency of plasma oscillations inside the electron bunch propagating through the wiggler become larger than the frequency of the plasma oscillations in a drift section
- the wiggler can be conveniently used to control the plasma oscillation frequency

this feature can be useful for microbunched electron cooling
an LDRD enabled a super cool POP experiment at AWA

## The Need for a Full EM Solver



## The Need for a Full EM Solver



OPAL electrostatic solver:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{E} = -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}'}{\partial t}, \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0, \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} = \mu_0 \boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{\ell}^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}'}{\partial t}, \\ \boldsymbol{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\text{ext}} + \mathbf{E}_{\text{self}}, \quad \boldsymbol{B} = \mathbf{B}_{\text{ext}} + \mathbf{B}_{\text{self}}, \end{cases}$$

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \rho/\varepsilon_0 \,,$$

in co-moving frame with appropriate boundary conditions.

## Modeling Electrons in Undulators and Wigglers

Solving full Maxwell equations is hard because:

- We have space charge and radiation that affects all particles,
- Hyperbolic PDE (stability issues, dispersion, huge computational demand...),
- ▶ Often simplifications are required (electrostatic, 1D wakefields, ...).

Table: Common approximations in modeling free electron laser radiation.

	approximation					
code name	steady state	wiggler-average	slow wave	forward	no space-charge	slice
	approximation	electron motion	approximation	wave	no space-charge	Silce
GENESIS 1.3	optional	~	~	~	—	optional
MEDUSA	optional	—	~	~	—	~
TDA3D	~	~	~	~	—	no time-domain
GINGER	—	~	~	~	—	—
PERSEO	—	—	—	~	~	—
CHIMERA	—	—	—	~	—	—
EURA	—	~	~	~	—	—
FAST	—	~	~	-	—	$\checkmark$
PUFFIN	—	—	—	~	~	—

A. Fallahi et al. (2018), DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2018.03.011

# Mithra: Full EM Solver from First-Principles



Computer Physics Communications Volume 228, July 2018, Pages 192-208



MITHRA 1.0: A full-wave simulation tool for free electron lasers **\*** 

Arya Fallahi \* 🖄 🖾, Alireza Yahaghi \*, Franz X. Kärtner \*, b

Maxwell equations rearranged into wave equations:

$$\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} &= \frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}, \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{E} &= -\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}, \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{B} &= 0, \\ \boldsymbol{\nabla} \wedge \boldsymbol{B} &= \mu_0 \boldsymbol{j} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}, \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \nabla^2 \boldsymbol{A} - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t^2} &= -\mu_0 \boldsymbol{j}, \\ \nabla^2 \phi - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} &= -\frac{\rho}{\varepsilon_0}. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Integrate wave equations with non-standard FDTD, in co-moving frame.

A. Fallahi et al. (2018), DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2018.03.011 J.-L. Vay (2007), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.130405

# Space Decomposition and Load Balancing



- The static solver (left) adapts the grid to tightly surround the bunch
- The full-wave solver (right) cannot resize the grid, and equally shares the number of cells among processors

# OPAL-FEL: start-to-end simulation of undulator-based facilities



To the best of our knowledge, there are no single particle-tracking codes that can do start-to-end tracking of accelerators including wigglers/undulators.

#### Experiment Parameters for the Benchmarks

	LCLS	AWA
$L_w$	2.10 m	1.1 m
$K_w$	51.5	10.81
$N_w$	6	10
$\lambda_w$	35 cm	8.5 cm
Q	200 pC	300 pC
mean $E$	3.95 GeV	45.5 MeV
$\sigma_{z}$	4.75 µm	250 µm
$\sigma_{x,y}$	74 µm	400 µm

## Benchmarking OPAL-FEL: LCLS Experiment

Experiment at LCLS tested wiggler effects in radiation dominated regime.



J. P. MacArthur et al. (2019), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.214801 A. Albà et al. (2022), DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2022.108475

## The AWA POP Experiment



Arnau Albà <sup>a</sup>, Jimin Seok <sup>b,</sup> ⊆ Andreas Adelmann <sup>a</sup> ⊗ <sup>18</sup>, Scott Doran <sup>b</sup>, Gwanghui Ha <sup>b</sup>, Soonhong Lee <sup>b</sup>, Yinghu Piao <sup>b</sup>, John Power <sup>b</sup>, Maofei Qian <sup>b</sup>, Eric Wisniewski <sup>b</sup>, Joseph Xu <sup>b</sup>, Alexander Zholents <sup>b</sup>

# Conclusions and Future work

#### Summary

- OPAL can simulate start-to-end accelerators with undulators
- Benchmarked in radiation dominated regime (LCLS 3.95 GeV) and space charge dominated regime (AWA 45 MeV),
- Optimal use of computational resources combining electrostatic with full EM solver in different parts of beamline.

#### Where is the gap regarding space charge computations?

- 1. even for compact FEL's the computational needs are substantial
- 2. such things like collisions are not included

#### What is needed to bridge this gap?

- 1. we need to make efficient use of field computational methods & computing hardware
- 2. research on what is the most efficient EM method

## Backup Slides: Experiment Parameters

	LCLS	AWA
$L_w$	2.10 m	1.1 m
$K_w$	51.5	10.81
$N_w$	6	10
$\lambda_w$	35 cm	8.5 cm
Q	200 pC	300 pC
mean $E$	3.95 GeV	45.5 MeV
$\sigma_z$	4.75 μm	250 µm
$\sigma_{x,y}$	74 µm	400 µm

#### Backup Slides: OPAL Electrostatic Solver



## Backup Slides: OPAL-FEL Full EM Solver



Figure: Schematic of OPAL-FEL's full-wave solver.

# Backup Slides: Space Decomposition and Load Balancing



Figure: Parallelization schemes used by OPAL's solvers. The blue ellipse is the bunch, and in this example four processors share the computational load. The static solver (left) adapts the grid to tightly surround the bunch, and equally shares the number of particles among processors. The full-wave solver MITHRA (right) cannot resize the grid, and equally shares the number of cells among processors.

# Backup Slides: Undulator in Co-moving Frame



Figure: By doing the entire simulation in the co-moving frame, the bunch length, undulator period, and radiation wavelength become of comparable size. Then the computational grid can be smaller and coarser, than when solving in the lab frame.

A. Fallahi et al. (2018), DOI:10.1016/j.cpc.2018.03.011 J.-L. Vay (2007), DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.98.130405