

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups

Stuart Calder Instrument Scientist on HB-2A Powder Diffractometer, HFIR

Neutron Scattering Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy



Overview

- Recap concepts for Magnetic Structures
- Magnetic Space Group approach
- Neutron Scattering to determine magnetic structures



Lots of references for neutron scattering



Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups

References on magnetic symmetry

- Garlea and Chakoumakos, "Magnetic Structures" chapter in <u>Experimental</u> <u>Methods in the Physical Sciences</u> vol. 48, p.203-290 Academic Press, 2016
- NEUTRON SCATTERING MAGNETIC AND QUANTUM PHENOMENA Edited by PELIX FERSIVANDEZ-ALONSO DAVID L. PRICE
- Juan Rodríguez-Carvajala, JacquesVillain, "Magnetic structures" <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crhy.2019.07.004</u>
- J. Rodríguez-Carvajal and F. Bourée, "Symmetry and magnetic structures" DOI: 10.1051/epjconf/20122200010
- J M Perez-Mato, J L Ribeiro, V Petricek and M I Aroyo "Magnetic superspace groups and symmetry constraints in incommensurate magnetic phases". doi:10.1088/0953-8984/24/16/163201
- A. Wills, "Magnetic structures and their determination using group theory" <u>https://doi.org/10.1051/jp4:2001906</u>
- Yurii A Izyumov, "Neutron-diffraction studies of magnetic structures of crystals" https://doi.org/10.1070/PU1980v023n07ABEH005115
- J.M. Perez-Mato, S.V. Gallego, E.S. Tasci, L. Elcoro, G. de la Flor, and M.I. Aroyo, "Symmetry-Based Computational Tools for Magnetic Crystallography" 10.1146/annurev-matsci-070214-021008



Neutron Scattering and Magnetism

- ~500 BC: Ferromagnetism documented
- 1932 Neel proposes antiferromagnetism
- 1943: First neutron experiments at ORNL
- 1951: Antiferromagnetism measured in MnO and Ferrimagnetism in Fe₃O₄ at ORNL by Shull and Wollan.
- 1950-60: Shubnikov and Bertaut develop methods for magnetic structure description.

Neutron scattering remains the best tool for determining magnetic structures

Actional Laboratory



Sinan,

PHYSICAL REVIEW

NEUTRONS

~200 BC



JULY 15, 1951

Neutron Diffraction by Paramagnetic and Antiferromagnetic Substances

VOLUME 83, NUMBER 2

C. G. SHULL, W. A. STRAUSER, AND E. O. WOLLAN Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (Received March 2, 1951)



ucture determination and magnetic space groups

Magnetic order: Magnetic moment and interactions



Moment + Crystal + Interaction = Magnetic Structure



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

Magnetic structure: Ordered spins in a crystalline lattice



• Time-reversal is a valid symmetry operator for paramagnetic phase, but is broken in the ordered phase



Magnetic structures

CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX SPALLATION National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

8

Lots of types (and mixtures of these types). ٠



J. Phys. IV France 11 (2001) © EDP Sciences, Les Ulis

Magnetic structures and their determination using group theory

A. Wills

Institut Laue-Langevin, BP. 156x, 38042 Grenoble cedex, France



h) sine or cosine







j) elliptical helix

f)umbrella

i) circular helix

9

k-vector describes the relation between the nuclear and magnetic unit cells ٠



General magnetic structure description with k-vectors

k-vector

- Can state only the spins in the 0th crystallographic unit cell and the k-vector describes how the spins are related in all other unit cells.
- All magnetic ordering is periodic, this can be expressed in the Fourier series:

spin at the atomic site *j* in some unit cell that is related to the 0^{th} cell (G₀) by a translation **R**.

 \mathbf{S}_{j} (Basis vector): spin in the 0th cell.

 $\mathbf{m}_{j} = \Sigma_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{k} e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$

Lattice translation to unit cell Correlation of the spin m_j on atom j within unit cell I to m_0 in the 0th unit cell translated by R





What are the ways to describe magnetic structures?

- Magnetic structures can get complicated.
- Often dealing with limited data.

Want a systematic way to simplify, determine and describe magnetic structures
 → Use symmetry

"It is only slightly overstating the case to say that physics is the study of symmetry"

P. W. Anderson

Science, New Series, Vol. 177, No. 4047 (Aug. 4, 1972), 393-396.



What are the ways to describe magnetic structures?

<u>Two main approaches</u>

- Both based on symmetry to help constrain your model
- End results identical
- Both methods have advantages and allow checks of magnetic structure
- GSAS-II uses Magnetic Space Groups

Magnetic Space Groups

- Extension of crystallographic space groups to include spin
- Describes symmetry of magnetic/nonmagnetic atoms so can provide insights
- Incommensurate only recently added through supersymmetry description (not currently in GSAS-II)

Representational analysis (IRs)

- Finds basis vectors in k-vector approach
- Equally applicable to simple commensurate and complex incommensurate magnetism
- Separates magnetic/non-magnetic descriptions



Magnetic space groups (Shubnikov groups)

- Natural extension of the crystallographic space group description.
 - But only recently became widely used for magnetism.

1929: Heesch, introduces the antiidentity operation properties: $u^2 = 1$, $u^2 = 1$,

- aka time reversal group = {1,1'} (Z. Krist. 71, 95)

1945: Shubnikov re-introduces concept of bi-colour point groups



- 1951: Shubnikov describes and illustrates all of the bicolor point groups (\rightarrow Shubnikov groups)
- 1955: Belov, Neronova, Smirnova (BNS) first complete listing of the Shubnikov groups (Sov. Phys. Crys 1, 487-488)
- 1957: Zamorzaev, group theoretical derivation of Shubnikov groups (Kristallografiya2, 15 (Sov. Phys. Cryst., 3, 401))

1965: Opechowski and Guccione (OG), first complete derivation and enumeration of the Shubnikov groups

2001: Litvin, corrected Opechowski-Guccione symbols (Acta Cryst. A57, 729-730)

2010: Magnetic Space Groups on computer programs (Stokes and Campbell, BYU)

Future: combine magnetic space group and representational analysis approaches for complete insights

Extend Space Group Approach to include magnetism

- 230 crystallographic space groups:
 - Atoms considered as simple points at a certain location, then apply symmetry operations.
- Now add magnetic moments:
 - Underlying crystal lattice unchanged, with moments at atomic positions.
 - BUT moments are not points, they are vectors ... axial vectors.
 - Location and orientation need to be considered when applying symmetry operations.
- Symmetry operations for crystal space groups not enough to describe magnetic structures.





Magnetic space groups: Spins are axial vectors

15



Magnetic space groups: Time-reversal

- To describe magnetic moments fully a new operator is added
 - Time reversal or prime (1')
 - Reverses the final current loop to allow a further set of symmetry operations.
- m' (anti-mirror) behaves like polar vector •
- 2' (anti-rotation) inverts axial vector
- All magnetic structures can be described by a combination of primed and unprimed symmetry operators

Time reversal = spin reversal I (changes the sense of the current)

AK RIDGE HIGH FLUX ational Laboratory REACTOR



Building the magnetic space groups

- By associating the 1' spin operator with a color change (black to white or black to red) the magnetic symmetry theory was termed black-white symmetry.
- The original 230 space groups are included as colorless groups and keep their standard labels
 e.g. Pmmm
- A further 230 groups are created by adding the 1' operator as an extra symmetry operation
 - e.g. Pmmm'
 - These correspond to paramagnetic states and are termed grey (each magnetic site is both black and white = grey)
- The remaining 1191 magnetic space groups are created by combining the 1' operator with one or more of the symmetry operation in each of the 230 crystallographic space groups
 - e.g. *Pm'mm* where the mirror plane perpendicular to a is now an anti-mirror and the other two are unchanged.

→ Combining all possibilities leads to <u>1651 magnetic space groups</u>



Building the magnetic space groups

Example based on space group P2/m

Type-I Fedorov group 10.42 P2/m(x, y, z)(-x, y, -z)(-x, -y, -z)(x, -y, z)

Type-III black/white PG 10.44 P2'/m(x, y, z)(-x, y, -z)'(-x, -y, -z)'(x, -y, z)

Actional Laboratory

18

Type-IIType-IVgray groupblack/white lattice10.43 P2/m1' $10.48 P_b 2/m$ (x, y, z)(x, y, z)(-x, y, -z)(-x, y, -z)(-x, -y, -z)(-x, -y, -z)(x, -y, z)(x, -y, z)(x, y, z)'(x, y + 1/2, z)'(-x, -y, -z)'(-x, -y + 1/2, -z)'(-x, -y, -z)'(-x, -y + 1/2, -z)'

Don't panic \rightarrow All the hard work is done by **Bilbao Crystallographic Server** or **ISOTROPY** software suite

Type-I: M=G no primes (single color)	230
Type-II: M=G+G1' all primed and unprimed (paramagnetic or gray groups)	230
Type-III (3a): M=D+(G-D)' half are primed (black-white groups) Groups of the "first kind" D is translationgleiche D translation is the same as G	674
Type-IV (3b): M=D+(G-D)' half are primed (black-white groups) Groups of the "second kind" D is klassengleiche D contains antitranslations leading to primitive magnetic cells larger than primitive crystal cells	517
Total magnetic space groups	1651

Magnetic space groups

- Full description of all Magnetic Space groups produced.
 - Acta Cryst A57, 729-730 (2001)
 - Acta Cryst. (2008). A64, 419-424 (2008)
- Utilized in analysis tools
 - Isodistort, Bilbao (GSAS-II), Fullprof, JANA, etc





Bilbao Crystallographic Server http://www.cryst.ehu.es/



Forthcoming schools and workshops:



26TH CONGRESS AND GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE INTERNATIONAL UNION OF CRYSTALLOGRAPHY 22-29 August 2023 - Heliboure Convention and Exhibition Centre www.lucr2023.org BIUG-2023 C

News:

20

- MAGNDATA steadily increases 11/2022: The database of magnetic structures MAGNDATA reaches 2000 entries.
- New program: Check
 Topological Phonons

11/2022: Check the topology of each gapped set of phonon bands

 New program: ProjectiveRep PG

11/2022: Tables of projective representations of magnetic plane point groups

Space-group symmetry

05/2022: The monoclinic and tetragonal ITA-settings database has been Wight FLUX National Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

bilbao crystallographic server

Contact us	About us	Publications	How to cite the server	
Space-group symmetry				
Magnetic Symmetry and Applications				
Group-Subgroup Relations of Space Groups				
Representations and Applications				
Solid State Theory Applications				
Structure Utilities				
Topological Quantum Chemistry				
Subperiodic Groups: Layer, Rod and Frieze Groups				
Structure Databases				

Bilboa Crystallographic Server: Pyrochlore example

• Input space group, k-vector to obtain symmetry allowed magnetic structures.

Maximal magnetic space groups for the parent space group Fd-3m (No. 227) and the propagation vector k = (0, 0, 0)



Bilboa Crystallographic Server (http://www.cryst.ehu.es/)

MAGNDATA → Collection of Magnetic Structures



mCIF file

- Magnetic structures are now standardized.
- Output from refinement software (Fullprof, TOPAS, GSAS-II or generated from BCS, ISODISTORT).
- Read by software like cif files.
- Nice visualization with VESTA.







Magnetic Superspace groups

 Magnetic space group approach has been fully generalized to include incommensurate structures beyond the 1651 Shubnikov groups

IOP PUBLISHING

JOURNAL OF PHYSICS: CONDENSED MATTER doi:10.1088/0953-8984/24/16/163201

TOPICAL REVIEW

J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24 (2012) 163201 (20pp)

Magnetic superspace groups and symmetry constraints in incommensurate magnetic phases

J M Perez-Mato¹, J L Ribeiro², V Petricek³ and M I Aroyo¹

 ¹ Departamento de Física de la Materia Condensada, Facultad de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad del País Vasco, UPV/EHU, Apartado 644, E-48080 Bilbao, Spain
 ² Centro de Física da Universidade do Minho, P-4710-057 Braga, Portugal
 ³ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic v.v.i., Na Slovance 2, CZ-18221 Praha 8, Czech Republic

E-mail: jm.perez-mato@ehu.es

Received 11 November 2011, in final form 13 February 2012 Published 26 March 2012 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysCM/24/163201

Abstract

Superspace symmetry has been for many years the standard approach for the analysis of non-magnetic modulated crystals because of its robust and efficient treatment of the structural constraints present in incommensurate phases. For incommensurate magnetic phases, this generalized symmetry formalism can play a similar role. In this context we review from a practical viewpoint the superspace formalism particularized to magnetic incommensurate phases. We analyse in detail the relation between the description using superspace symmetry and the representation method. Important general rules on the symmetry of magnetic incommensurate modulations with a single propagation vector are derived. The power and efficiency of the method is illustrated with various examples, including some multiferroic materials. We show that the concept of superspace symmetry provides a simple, efficient and systematic way to characterize the symmetry and rationalize the structural and physical properties of incommensurate magnetic materials. This is especially relevant when the properties of incommensurate multiferroics are investigated.

Methodology for determining magnetic structures



25

Neutron scattering

"If the neutron díd not exist, it would need to be invented" - Bertram Brockhouse







Why neutrons?

- Wavelength: Comparable to atomic distances (1-5 Å)
 - Strong nuclear interaction with nuclei (nuclear scattering from a point)
- No charge: Can travel through thick samples (cm) and equipment
- Neutron spin (μ_N): dipole interaction with unpaired electrons $\rightarrow \mu$ = -(L + 2S) μ_B
 - Scattering from magnetic potential produced by e spin or e orbit
 - Magnetic scattering of a similar magnitude to nuclear scattering (often smaller, sometimes larger)

Magnetic potential (V_m) measured

$$v_m = \mu_n B$$

Magnetic field (B) produced by unpaired electrons (spin and orbital)





Neutron Scattering Cross section for diffraction





28



Neutron Diffraction: Ewald sphere and reciprocal space

For a crystal, get intensity when Q=G i.e. at allowed (H,K,L) positions



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX National Laboratory

30

SPALLATION NEUTRON

Neutron measurements: Nuclear and Magnetic scattering

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}(Q) = \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}_{\mathrm{nuc}}(Q) + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}_{\mathrm{mag}}(Q)$$

- For an unpolarized neutron measurement, there is no interference between the nuclear and magnetic scattering.
- Can be considered separately, then combined
 - This is done in experiments and analysis!
 - Magnetic Space group approach incorporates both nuclear and magnetic.



Magnetic neutron diffraction from a crystal





Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups

Magnetic form factor, f(Q)

- Magnetic scattering from extended electron cloud \rightarrow form factor
- F(Q) is the Fourier transform of the spin distribution in real space: $F(Q) = \int S(r)e^{iQ.r}d^3r$



 Analytical expressions are tabulated <u>https://www.ill.eu/sites/ccsl/ffacts/</u> for j₁ (spin only), j₂ (orbital), j₃ (orbital), etc

Actional Laboratory

Magnetic neutron diffraction from a crystal





34

$F_{M|}(Q)$: Neutrons Only Measure Moments Perpendicular to Q

- $V_{\text{magnetic}(\mathbf{r})} = -\boldsymbol{\mu}_{n} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r})$ Scattering depends on Fourier transform of ٠
- $\nabla \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$ From Maxwell's equation: ٠ Fourier transform \rightarrow $i\mathbf{Q}.\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{Q}) = 0$

 $M_{\perp}(\mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{Q} \times (M \times \mathbf{Q})$ \rightarrow B(Q) is perpendicular to Q to be non-zero



CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX National Laboratory REACTOR SPALLATION NEUTRON

K

35

Neutron diffraction data on Powder and Single Crystals



• See "everything", but averaged.

SPALLATION NEUTRON

CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

36







• Definitive details, if accessed in experiment.

Powder

Single crystal ?

Advantages

- Often easier synthesis
- See everything
- Propagation vector
- If powders work then saved a lot of effort.
- Measurement more routine.

Disadvantages

- Information is averaged and lost.
- Hard to uniquely assign some propagation vectors.
- No domain info

copper gasket

 Field measurements hard to interpret quantitatively

<u>Advantages</u>

- Propagation vector unambiguously determined.
- Low background so can see smaller moments
- Directional dependence of field (or strain, etc)
- Domain information
- Smaller mass (~mg)



Disadvantages

- Synthesis can be hard
- Data correction: absorption, extinction, etc
- Need to search large reciprocal space (or have large detectors)
- Sample alignment considerations.



CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

Summary: Determining a magnetic structure with neutron scattering

- Find a good problem and grow sample (powder or crystal)
- Do lots of characterization measurements in laboratory
- Understand background/theory of sample and neutron diffraction
- Apply for beamtime (speak to instrument scientist)
- Sample and experiment preparation are crucial (speak to instrument scientist)
- Perform neutron measurement
- Analyze crystal structure (maybe need more measurements)
- Analyze magnetic structure (GSAS-II): Starting model (magnetic symmetry) → compare to data → repeat
- If lucky write up paper

DAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX National Laboratory REACTOR

 Otherwise more data → Powder → single crystal → polarization → inelastic → etc





Extra slides: k-vector



- Magnetic and crystallographic unit cells are not necessarily the same size.
- <u>k-vector describes the relation between the</u> nuclear and magnetic unit cells
 - Determine with neutron diffraction

• Can state just the spins in the 0th crystallographic unit cell and the k-vector describes how the spins are related in all other unit cells.





40

Actional Laboratory

- Convenient to introduce a propagation vector (k-vector) for magnetic structures: <u>Describes the relation between the crystal (nuclear) and magnetic unit cells</u>
- Aim: Can state just the spins in the 0th crystallographic unit cell and the k-vector describes how the spins are related in all other unit cells.
- k-vector directly observable with neutron scattering:
 - Magnetic peaks are shifted from the positions of nuclear peaks (τ) by the **k**-vector value, i.e $Q_{mag} = \tau + k$
 - k-vector can be commensurate (e.g. 1/4) or incommensurate (e.g. 1/13)
 - Can have multiple k-vectors



General magnetic structure description with k-vectors

k-vector

- Can state only the spins in the 0th crystallographic unit cell and the k-vector describes how the spins are related in all other unit cells.
- All magnetic ordering is periodic, this can be expressed in the Fourier series:

spin at the atomic site j in some unit cell that is related to the 0th cell (G₀) by a translation **R**.

 \mathbf{S}_{j} (Basis vector): spin in the 0th cell.

 $\mathbf{m}_{i} = \Sigma_{k} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{k} e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$

Lattice translation to unit cell

Correlation of the spin m_j on atom j within unit cell I to m_0 in the 0th unit cell translated by R



Actional Laboratory REACTOR

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups

- Magnetic Space groups: k-vector is directly incorporated in the unit cell.
- Consider a paramagnetic crystal unit cell of **a** and **b** and a **k-vector**.
 - If k=(0,0), then the magnetic space group unit cell is unchanged: a and b.
 - If k=(1/2,0), then the magnetic space group unit cell is: 2a and b.
 - If k=(1/4,0), then the magnetic space group unit cell is: 4a and b.
- Need to keep track of this in refinements, cif/mCIF files, reflections and reporting of results.



44

k-vector describes the relation between the nuclear and magnetic unit cells ٠



- **k**-vector directly observable with neutron scattering:
 - Magnetic Bragg peaks are shifted from the positions of nuclear peaks (τ) by the **k**-vector value, i.e $Q_{mag} = \tau + k$
 - k-vector can be commensurate (e.g. 1/4) or incommensurate (e.g. 1/13)
 - Can have multiple **k**-vectors



k=(0,0), FM → → → → → → → → → → → →

a

Direct space

Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell



Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (0,0), (1,0), (0,1),(1,1) etc measured with neutron scattering







<u>Direct space</u>

k=(0,0), AFM

Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell



Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (0,0), (1,0), (0,1),(1,1) etc measured with neutron scattering

Nuclear Bragg peak

Magnetic Bragg peak

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups



★b
k=(½,0), AFM
★ →

a

Direct space

Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell



Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (0.5,0), (1.5,0), (2.5,0), etc measured with neutron scattering



Magnetic Bragg peak



Direct space



Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell

Reciprocal space



 \rightarrow (0.5,0),(0.5,0),(0.5,0.5) (1.5,0), (1.5,1.5), etc measured with neutron scattering



Magnetic Bragg peak



 $\mathbf{k} = (1/4, 0)$, AFM

Direct space

Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell



Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (0.25,0),(0.75,0),(1.25,0) (1.75,0), etc measured with neutron scattering



Magnetic Bragg peak

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups



Direct space

Incommensurate spin density wave structure with $k_1 = (\delta, 0)$



Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell



Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (δ ,0),(1- δ ,0) $(1+\delta,0)$, etc measured with neutron scattering



Magnetic Bragg peak

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups



Direct space

Incommensurate Helical structure with $k_1 = (\delta, 0)$



Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell





Magnetic reflections at (0,0)+k

 \rightarrow (δ ,0),(1- δ ,0) (1+ δ ,0), etc measured with neutron scattering





Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups





Crystal unit cell Magnetic unit cell

Reciprocal space



Magnetic reflections at $(0,0)+k_1+k_2$

 \rightarrow (0,0), (0.5,0) (1,0), (1.5,0), etc measured with neutron scattering



Magnetic Bragg peak

Experimental aspects of magnetic structure determination and magnetic space groups



Multi-k structures: the Skyrmion lattice

- Skyrmion lattice is an example of a multi-**k** incommensurate magnetic structure
- Lattice of clockwise magnetic whirlpools

k $_1 = (2α, -α, 0)$ **k}_2 = (-α, 2α, 0) k** $_3 = (-α, -α, 0)$ Can have **k** $_4 = (000)$

• Here *α*=0.11



Single crystal diffraction

- Magnetic reflections provide the k-vector without any analysis.
 - E.g. if you measure reflections at (0.5,0,0), then that is your propagation vector!
- Reciprocal space is large → sometimes need to know where to look or you'll miss the magnetic peaks.
 - Do powder diffraction first

Powder diffraction

- Get complete coverage of reciprocal space, but it is averaged.
- Need to index magnetic reflections → analysis tool
- Might not get unique kvector, especially if incommensurate
 - Do single crystal to check

General magnetic structure description with k-vectors

k-vector

- Can state only the spins in the 0th crystallographic unit cell and the k-vector describes how the spins are related in all other unit cells.
- All magnetic ordering is periodic, this can be expressed in the Fourier series:

spin at the atomic site j in some unit cell that is related to the 0th cell (G₀) by a translation **R**.

S_j (Basis vector): spin in the 0th cell.

 $\mathbf{m}_{i} = \Sigma_{k} \mathbf{S}_{i}^{k} e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$

Lattice translation to unit cell Correlation of the spin m_j on atom j within unit cell I to m_0 in the 0th unit cell translated by R





Examples of using the k-vector formulism: $\mathbf{m}_i = \mathbf{S}_i^k e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{R}}$

- Simplest case of k = (0,0,0) = 0
- $\mathbf{m}_{ij} = \mathbf{S}_{0j} e^{-2\pi i \mathbf{k}.R} = \mathbf{S}_{0j} e^{-2\pi i 0.R} = \mathbf{S}_{0j} e^{0} = \mathbf{S}_{0j} = \mathbf{m}_{0J}$
- Orientation of the magnetic moments in any cell of the crystal are identical to the 0th cell
 > magnetic unit cell = crystallographic unit cell
- K=000 could be ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic







Extra slides: Refining neutron data



Basics of fitting diffraction data

Measured peaks have position (Q or HKL), intensity and width

- **<u>Peak positions:</u>** determined by size and shape of unit cell
- <u>Peak intensities:</u> determined by the atomic number and position of the various atoms in the unit cell
- <u>Peak widths:</u> determined by instrument parameters as well as temperature, crystal size/quality, strain,

- Single crystal → integrated intensity of each peak is extracted. So in refinement only need to consider a few parameters (extinction, absorption)
- Powder → Overlapping peaks means modelling whole pattern. [Rietveld Refinement]

Fitting your data: Rietveld refinement (powder)

- Hugo Rietveld: "The method of using the total integrated intensities of the separate groups of overlapping ٠ peaks in the least-squares refinement of structures, leads to the loss of all the information contained in the often-detailed profile of these composite peaks. By the use of these profile intensities instead of the integrated quantities in the refinement procedure, however, this difficulty is overcome and it allows the extraction of the maximum amount of information contained in the powder diagram."
- If pattern can be modelled, the fit between observed data and model can be optimized.
- In powder, unlike single crystal, need to model experiment dependent parameters ۲
 - Background

CAK RIDGE HIGH FLUX ISOTOPE NAtional Laboratory REACTOR SOURCE

- Peak broadening (sample/instrument)
- Lattice constant _
- Absorption and sample shape —
- Preferred orientation
- Refinement \rightarrow need a good starting model ullet
- Neutron data usually required for determining occupancy. ٠



Hugo Rietveld in the Petten Reactor (~1987)

Peak shape varies with scattering angle

• Cagliotta formula: $FWHM^2 = U \tan^2\theta + V \tan\theta + W$

Source And A Contract A Contract

61

SPALLATION NEUTRON

- U, V, W parameters are a function of instrument collimation and monochromator G. Caglioti et al., Nucl. Instr. 3, 223-228 (1958)
- Does not take into account guides or focusing of monochromator.
- Spallation sources need extra terms to model resolution from pulse shape.





