CONFIGURATION LEVEL (from Step 6 of Instructions):  1 Serious □, 2 Important ⊠, 3 Routine □, 4 Special □			
QUALITY LEVEL (from St	ep 9 of Instructions):	Important ⊠, 3 Routine □	
Procedure for the Secondary Practices, to document Determination Procedure	ond Target Station Project ta Configuration Level I ure, to document a Qual	with S01020000-PR0001-R01, Configuration Management ct, and S01020000-PC0001, General Policy on Engineering Determination, and S01030200-PR0002, Quality Level lity Level Determination. Upon completion of the form is a Quality Record and submitted into EDRM.	
		ument. A printed or downloaded copy may not be the trol system before each use.	
Document Number	S03110300-TD010000		
Grading of	Core Vessel Vacuum Sy	Core Vessel Vacuum System	
WBS Description	S.03.11.03		
		T	
L2 WBS Manager <sup>4</sup>	rovals:	Peter Rosenblad	
Neutronics <sup>3,4</sup>		N/A	
Radiation Safety Officer <sup>3,4</sup>		N/A	
Lead Engineer <sup>2</sup>		Mike Strong	
Systems Engineering and Integration Lead <sup>2</sup>		David Anderson	
Quality Representative <sup>1,2</sup>		Tim Gregory	
ES&H Representative <sup>1,2</sup>		Steven Trotter	
Configuration Control Manager <sup>1,2</sup>		Mike Strong	
Configuration Authority <sup>5</sup>		David Anderson	
<sup>1</sup> Required approval for Configu	ration Level Determination	•	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Required approval for Quality Level Determination <sup>3</sup>Optional approval for Configuration Level Determination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Optional approval for Quality Level Determination

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Required approval for Configuration Level 1 Determination

### **Configuration Level Determination**

### Table 1. CM SSC Checklist

Category	Criteria	Yes	No
Mission Critical SSCs,	Could failure of the SSC result in more than one week loss of		$\boxtimes$
Software and	facility operation?		
Firmware	Could failure of the SSC prevent one or more neutron beamlines		$\boxtimes$
	from operating for more than 6 months?		
	Could failure of the SSC prevent three or more neutron		$\boxtimes$
	beamlines from operating for more than 3 weeks?		
Environmental	Could failure of SSC result in exceeding regulatory limits or		$\boxtimes$
Protection SSCs	involve significant cleanup cost?		
Costly SSCs	Could failure of SSC result in a repair cost of more than \$5		$\boxtimes$
	million?		
Safety Management	Is the process or procedure for fire protection, maintenance,		$\boxtimes$
System Work	radiation protection, worker safety, hazardous materials		
Processes	handling, pressure safety, quality management, integrated		
	safety management, accelerator beam safety, emergency		
	preparedness procedures, or evacuation of accelerator before		
	startup (or similar)?		
Worker and Public	Could failure of the SSC result in a loss of life to a worker or		$\boxtimes$
Safety SSCs	member of the public?		
Key Performance	Does the functionality of the SSC affect the STS's ability to		$\boxtimes$
Parameter SSCs	achieve a Key Performance Parameter (KPP)?		
PPS	Is the SSC a Personnel Protection System (PPS)?		$\boxtimes$
CECs	Is the SSC a Credited Engineering Control (CEC)?		$\boxtimes$
Fire Protection	Is the SSC a fire protection system?		$\boxtimes$
CA Discretion	Does the Configuration Authority classify the SSC as a		$\boxtimes$
	Configuration Managed System Structure or Component (CM		
	SSC) for any other reason?		

If any row in Table 1 is marked "yes", then the SSC is a Configuration Managed System, Structure, or Component (CM SSC) and is a "Level 1 – Serious" grade per the Graded Approach Matrix shown in Table 2 of S01020000-PC0001 General Policy on Engineering Practices.

### **Configuration Level Determination (Continued)**

#### Table 2. CI Checklist

Criteria	Yes	No
Is the SSC biological radiation shielding?		$\boxtimes$
Is the SSC a Shutter or shutter control?		$\boxtimes$
Is the SSC a High Energy Pressure Systems (see policy on pressure and vacuum systems as	$\boxtimes$	
listed in S01020000-PC0001 General Policy on Engineering Practices)?		
Is the SSC an emission control system?		$\boxtimes$
Is the SSC a load bearing structure?		$\boxtimes$
Is the SSC a lifting fixtures or device?		$\boxtimes$
Does the CCM classify the SSC as a CI for another reason?		$\boxtimes$

If any row in Table 2 is marked "yes", then the SSC is a Configuration Item (CI) and is a "Level 2 – Important" grade per the Graded Approach Matrix shown in Table 2 of S01020000-PC0001 General Policy on Engineering Practices.

If all rows in both matrices are marked "no", then the SSC is a "Level 3 – Routine" grade per the Graded Approach Matrix shown in Table 2 of *S01020000-PC0001 General Policy on Engineering Practices* unless the CCM designates the SSC as "Level 4 – special."

The Graded Approach Matrix shown in Table 2 of *S01020000-PC0001 General Policy on Engineering Practices* indicates the level of review and approval required for all configuration levels.

### **Quality Level Determination**

### **Table 3 Quality Level**

Risk Type	Level 1: Serious	Level 2: Important	Level 3: Routine
Accelerator Safety Envelope  1  2  3	Violating the Accelerator Safety Envelope, including through affecting STS or SNS credited engineered controls	Adverse effect on credited engineered controls or administrative controls providing safety margin	No potential for adverse effects on the safety envelope or credited controls
Comments:			
Radiological Concerns  1  2  3	Onsite impacts to large numbers of persons or major impacts to the environment	Considerable potential onsite impacts to people or the environment, but only minor offsite impacts	Minor onsite and negligible offsite impacts to people and the environment.
	the potential for activated mate	rial release in the event of Hot	Offgas connection failure.
Environmental  1  2  3	Environmental damage that could exceed regulatory limits or involve significant cleanup costs	Moderately adverse impact on the environment, with moderate remediation and cleanup costs	Minor impact on the environment, with minimal cleanup costs or remediation effort
Comments:			
Health & Safety  1  2  3	Death or total disability or severe adverse impact on the health or safety of a worker or member of the public	Injury or illness requiring hospitalization, temporary or partial disability	Minimal impact on health and safety, such as injury or illness requiring minor supportive treatment but not hospitalization
Comments:			1
Conformance to Laws, Regulations, DOE and Other Requirements  1  2  3	Significant potential for noncompliance with state and federal laws and regulations, or nonconformance to DOE requirements, or an STS Safety Assessment Document	Some potential for nonconformance to ORNL or STS procedures, or minor noncompliance with state and federal laws and regulations	Minor or no nonconformance with established STS or SNS management practices
Comments:  User Impact or Availability  1  2  3  Comments:	Significant adverse impact to an SNS or STS user or an important impact to multiple users	Important adverse impact to a user but not affecting other users	Negligible impact to users
Comments.			

Risk Type	Level 1: Serious	Level 2: Important	Level 3: Routine
F	Consequences	Lancardo ado ado anos de conserto	Deterministry we altimitely
Functional	Significant adverse impact to achieving or maintaining key	Important adverse impact to a major system or	Potential for negligible impact to any facility
1 🗆	facility performance and	component, but not	system, component, or
2 🗆	reliability goals	blocking STS from key	task
3 ⊠	7.5	performance goals	
Comments:			
Financial	Significant unintended costs	Some unintended cost	Unintended costs within
1 🗆	above contingency or delay of	above contingency, or delay	available contingency, or
2 🗆	project funding for more than 1 year	in funding for some major activities for a year or two	delay in funding for non- critical activities for a short
3 ⊠	, year	activities for a year or two	period of time
Comments:	L		<u> </u>
Schedule	Significant schedule delays,	Moderate schedule delays	Minor schedule delays that
1 🗆	especially those affecting the	that do not impact critical	do not impact other
2 🗆	STS critical path	path	schedules
3 🗵			
Comments:			
Sponsor / Public	Significant concern about loss	Minor concern about	Little or no concern about
Concern or	of confidence in the project or	reduced confidence	reduced confidence
Confidence	facility by the sponsor or the		
1 🗆	public		
2 🗆			
3 🗵			
Comments:			
Personnel	Significant unavailability of	Personnel are available but	Personnel are available
Resources	trained and qualified	need additional training or	and only need site or
1 🗆	personnel to perform critical	qualification to perform	facility specific training in
2 🗆	activities required for project, facility, or activity completion	needed supportive project or facility activities	order to perform project or facility activities
3 ⊠	racility, or activity completion	or racinty activities	or racintly activities
Comments:			
Material	Significantly limited	Limited availability of	Needed materials and
Resources	availability of critically	specialized materials or	equipment are available
1 🗆	required materials or	equipment needed, but alternates are available	from multiple suppliers
2 🗆	equipment in order to meet the project or facility	with reduced capabilities	
3 🗵	technical or operational goals	with reduced capabilities	
Comments:			
Supplier Supplier	Significant lack of capable	Limited availability of	Multiple capable suppliers
Availability	suppliers of critically required	capable suppliers of	of needed items or
1 🗆	items or services needed for	required items or services	services required for
2 🗆	project or facility completion	needed for project or	project or facility
3 🗵		facility completion	completion
Comments:			
Comments.			

Risk Type	Level 1: Serious	Level 2: Important	Level 3: Routine
	Consequences		
Availability of	No alternate technology is	Alternate technology is	Alternate technology is
Alternate	available that could provide	available but at potentially	available and capable of
Technology	the level of performance	reduced performance from	providing the required
1 🗆	required by the project or	that required of the project	level of performance
2 🗆	facility	of facility	required of the project of
3 ⊠			facility
3 🖂			
Comments:			

If any risk type is marked "1", the QL is 1.

If there is no risk type marked "1" but there is at least one "2" marked, the QL is 2.

If all risk types are marked "3", the QL is 3.

 Table 4. Requirements for Work Activities Chosen Based on Quality Levels

Level 1: Rigorous	Level 2: Disciplined	Level 3: Normal	
Quality Assuring			
Configuration Managed Structures, Systems and Components (CM SSCs):  Design Requirements Review, Conceptual Design Review, Preliminary Design Review, Final Design Review <sup>1</sup> Drawing approvals by the Configuration Authority	Configuration Items (CIs):  • Formal Final Design Review <sup>1</sup> , informal Conceptual Design Review, informal Preliminary Design Review  • Drawing approvals by the Configuration Control Manager	Non-CM or CI:  Informal Final Design Review. Optional Conceptual and Preliminary Design Reviews  Drawing approval by Designated Design Authority	
• Complete design documentation and records <sup>1</sup>	Adequate and appropriate design documentation	Minimal documentation	
Certified or similar documented worker qualifications, shown on MIP <sup>1</sup>	Qualified personnel assigned, shown on MIP <sup>1</sup>	Knowledgeable personnel used	
<ul> <li>Acceptance Checklist (ACL) created<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA) Created<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ACL or equivalent created<sup>1</sup></li> <li>FMEA or equivalent created<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ACL optional but encouraged dependent upon component interactions</li> <li>FMEA not required</li> </ul>	
Vendor qualification and QA representation during evaluation of competitive responses <sup>1</sup>	Vendor qualification (completed QA/QC Questionnaire minimum) <sup>1</sup>	Follow ORNL SBMS Purchase     Goods and Services procedure     for procurement of non-quality     significant items	
<ul> <li>Approved documented procedures for activity<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Procedures as needed IAW ORNL SBMS</li> </ul>	Procedures other than ES&H as needed IAW ORNL SBMS	
	Quality Controlling		
Manufacturing Inspection Plan     (MIP) required <sup>1</sup>	• MIP required <sup>1</sup>	MIP not required	
• Formal inspection and testing per MIP <sup>1</sup>	• Tests and inspections of critical attributes <sup>1</sup>	Normal receipt inspection only, plus any ES&H requirements	

Level 1: Rigorous	Level 2: Disciplined	Level 3: Normal
<ul> <li>ACL completed by STS<sup>1</sup></li> <li>FMEA completed by STS<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ACL or equivalent completed by STS<sup>1</sup></li> <li>FMEA or equivalent completed by STS<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	<ul> <li>ACL optional but encouraged dependent upon component interactions</li> <li>FMEA not required</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Comprehensive oversight and assessment activities<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	Oversight by general management assessments and Quality assessments	Oversight performed by line supervision
Controlled measuring and test equipment (M&TE) <sup>1</sup>	Controlled M&TE <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>Controlled M&amp;TE not required but encouraged dependent upon component interactions</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Suspect Counterfeit Item (S/CI) detection, control, prevention by supplier<sup>1</sup></li> </ul>	S/CI detection and control by supplier <sup>1</sup>	S/CI detection and control at STS
Identification and control of items	Uniquely identify items and control as needed¹	Best commercial practices for item controls (e.g., catalog number)
Maintain items to prevent damage or loss	Store items in appropriate conditions to prevent damage or loss	• Prevent loss

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>ESH&Q representatives approvals are required.

### List Attachments:

Document Number & Revision	Title

#### Instructions

- 1. Enter new document number in "Document Number" box. This number is obtained from the Enterprise Document and Records Management (EDRM) System.
- 2. Enter the applicable element, Structure, System, or Component (SSC), activity, task, etc. title in the "Grading Of" box.
- 3. Enter the applicable WBS Description (e.g., Instruments/CHESS/Optics).

  Note: Enter any additional blank lines and position title of any additional affected disciplines requiring approval in the blank lines under the Approvals column.

#### **Configuration Level Determination**

- 4. Determine if the Structure, System, or Component (SSC) is a Configuration Management SSC level 1 using Table 1. Then mark the corresponding Category box as yes or no as determined by the discussion.
  - If any row in Table 1 is marked "yes", the SSC is a "Level 1 Serious" grade.
- 5. Determine if the SSC is a Configuration Item by answering the questions in Table 2. Then mark the corresponding Category box as yes or no as determined by the discussion.
  - If any row in Table 2 is marked "yes", then the SSC is a Configuration Item and is a "Level 2 Important" grade.
  - If all rows in both Tables 1 and 2 are marked "no", then the SSC is a "Level 3 Routine" grade; unless the CCM designates the SSC as "Level 4 Special" based upon S01020000-PC0001, General Policy on Engineering Practices.
- 6. Enter the corresponding Configuration Level box as 1, 2, 3, or 4 as determined by the evaluation at the top of the form under "Configuration Level".

### **Quality Level Determination**

7. Determine the Quality Level (QL) using Table 3. In each row, or Risk Type, discuss the implications for the WBS component or SSC being graded. Then mark the corresponding Risk Type box as 1, 2, or 3 as determined by the discussion. Add any comments, thought processes, topics to follow up, etc., to the Comment Section under each Risk Type to capture any discussion used in the decision-making process, as determined wanting to be captured by the evaluation team.

**NOTE:** Where the discussion of risk (=hazard x probability of occurrence) is important to choosing the QL of a row in the table, the calculation and its assumptions are either attached to this form or added to the "Comments" portion of the applicable Risk Type.

- 8. Examine the marked boxes.
  - If there is even a single row marked "1", the QL is 1.
  - If there is no row marked "1" but there is at least a "2" marked, the QL is 2.

- If all rows are marked "3", the QL is 3.
- 9. Enter the corresponding Quality Level box as 1, 2, or 3 as determined by the evaluation at the top of the form under "Quality Level".
- 10. Decide the Proportionate Actions by using Table 2, which uses general terms for which more specific procedures and other documents may be available at the time of the QL determination.
- 11. Make a list of actions to be taken because of the grade assigned, that are important to completing the WBS, SSC procurement, or other activity being graded. Be as specific as possible considering the status of the graded item and the circumstances. Attach the list to this form.
- 12. Route the completed form and any attachments generated as a result of the determination to the responsible WBS manager and approval disciplines listed on Page 1 of this form for signature.
- 13. When all approvals are obtained, send the completed form (along with any attachments) to the Second Target Station document control center or EDRM System.